

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,501	08/04/2006	Motoki Kato	293663US8PCT	7214
OBLON SPIV	7590 01/26/201 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			CRUTCHFIELD, CHRISTOPHER M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2466	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,501	КАТО, МОТОКІ		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER CRUTCHFIELD	2466		

	CHRISTOPHER CRUTCHFIELD	2466						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE						
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio- places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
The periods, The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	a date of the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE FIRST								
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave been filled is the date to catalroid and the period of ex- named and the period of experiod and the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bek								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciainis.						
		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)					
. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): By proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 								
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il subillitted ili a separate,	timely med amendme	in canceling the					
f. ∑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∑ will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. 🔲 Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. 🔲 Other:								
/IAN N. MOORE/	/Christopher Crutchfield	i/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2469	Examiner, Art Unit 2466							

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendments to claims 24-27, 29-33, 37-49, 42-46 and 61-64 raise issues requiring further search and consideration; for example, the amendments to claims 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 33, 37-39, 42-44, and 61-64 requiring a first and second TS packet that are both distinct and interleaved present subject matter that was not previously examined and require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As Applicant's arguments and remarks are directed to subject matter not entered, they have not been addressed. Therefore, the claims remain rejected for the same reasons stated with respect to the Final Office action, dated 1 December 2011.